DD/S 7/- 49

Executive Registry 71-1.38

21 December 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Senior Seminar Guest Speaker's Article SUBJECT: Criticizing CIA

- This Memorandum has been prepared as a result of the publication in the New York Review of Books issue of 30 December 1971 of an article by Richard J. Barnet referring to the CIA Senior Seminar. (A copy of the article is contained in Attach-Barnet, Co-director of the Institute for Policy Studies, Washington, D.C. was a guest speaker in the Seminar on 4 November.
- The Seminar is discussed in the first two paragraphs of the article in which Barnet gives a misleading account (a) of the purpose of the Seminar, (b) of his role in it; and (c) of his discussions with Seminar participants. Contrary to Barnet's assertion, the purpose of the Seminar was definitely not to "consider its (the Agency's) image" except in a limited sense of having the Seminar participants reflect on the subject briefly. Further, in a single paragraph Barnet tendentiously connects previous "conversations with a number of CIA officials," who he believes agree with his views but none of whom are identified, to the Senior Seminar participants with the following statement: "One CIA man after another eagerly joined the discussion to assure me that the days of the flamboyant covert operations were over." One or two participants did indicate agreement with Barnet's contention that technical collection has come to overshadow agent collection, but the recollections of the Seminar Staff and record of the discussion preserved by the Senior Seminar rapporteur for this session simply do not support Barnet's account. (Further details concerning the Seminar discussions with Barnet are contained in Paragraphs 6 and 7 below, following a resume of the circumstances surrounding the Seminar's invitation to Barnet.)
  - Barnet was invited to make a presentation on 4 November to the Senior Seminar as part of the four-day Block of the program devoted to domestic changes which are directly relevant to the This Block corresponded to the following announced objective of the Seminar:

"To develop greater insight into problems and pressures facing CIA management, the processes of change within the Agency and in its external relationship, and developments in American society which are relevant to CIA as an organization."

Efe Dir.

The overall scope note for the "domestic environment" notes that the Block "examines contemporary domestic problems and issues and their impact on national priorities, foreign policy and the Central Intelligence Agency; and explores the relationships of the communications media and public opinion with the executive branch of the U.S. Government in general and with CIA in particular. this Block the Seminar Staff sought to present "critical" as well as "orthodox" views of problems so that participants would be challenged intellectually. Separate presentations were scheduled on "Social and Cultural Change in American Society -- A Report," "Changing Economic Priorities and the Implication's for CIA," "A Youth Viewpoint," "The Drug Culture," "Government and the Media," "CIA and the Media," "A Critic Looks at U.S. Foreign Policy," and "Social and Cultural Change in American Society -- A Perspective." The penultimate was the topic proposed to Mr. Barnet (see Attachment B, copy of topic scope note). Thus in no sense, as his article implies, was he invited to discuss the "Agency image."

- 4. Our selection of Barnet as a speaker was based on several considerations. He has achieved prominence as an articulate, if a hairshirt, critic of U.S. foreign policy via his books and articles (one of the latter, an excerpt from his latest book Washington Plans an Aggressive War, appeared in Harper's just a few days prior to his scheduled session with the Seminar.) He is not totally ignorant of the foreign policy process, as some academic critics are. Finally, I knew him personally from our service in the U.S. Disarmament Administration and its successor ACDA and believed he would live up to his billing as a "critic."
- 5. Prior to making any move to invite Barnet, I submitted his name, along with that of many other possible guest speakers, to the Office of Security. Approval to use Barnet on an unclassified basis was granted by Security on 1 September. Contrary to the usual Seminar practice of writing a formal letter of invitation outlining the nature and objectives of the Seminar, our contact was limited to my telephone call inviting him to speak and a follow-up letter asking for his suggestions concerning pertinent reading material and arranging details of his transportation to and from the Seminar site. (See Attachment C for a copy of the letter to Barnet.) His name was listed in the Senior Seminar guest speakers regularly forwarded to the DD/S by the OTR Speaker Coordinator.
- 6. The salient points of Barnet's formal presentation and ensuing discussion period were recorded by Chief C

for one hour. His comments were critical, in a rather rambling fashion, of present day U.S. foreign policy. He particularly emphasized the impact of the Nixon Doctrine on foreign policy, contending that the Doctrine is a throwback to the Cold War and the policies of that era. Moreover, he claimed that CIA is an antiquated instrument of that cold war and its image is that of a "sinister force."

- 7. Following Barnet's formal remarks and a coffee break, the Seminar group reassembled in the Seminar lounge for discussions. Several questions were put to Mr. Barnet, but his detailed responses left far more questions unanswered than the group would have liked. Despite an occasional question posed by Barnet, no specific information was volunteered by the Seminar members. There was one lively exchange stemming from his claim that CIA is responsible for training in methods of interrogation with primary reliance upon the use of physical torture. When considerable skepticism was expressed by the group, the speaker asserted he had his information on "good authority" -- a personal friend. Pressed further to identify his source, Barnet claimed that the syndicated columnist Jack Anderson had given him this information. (Incidentally, he did not allude to this contention in the N.Y. Review article.)
- 8. Near the close of the discussion period, Barnet raised the charge that CIA has engaged in assassination. I joined several members of the Seminar in rejecting this claim in a most positive manner. Notwithstanding, he concluded his article with a general reference to the Agency's having developed "brilliant techniques" for assassination, sabotage and deception.
- 9. Following the informal session, five of the participants and I took Barnet to lunch since he had declined the Seminar's proffered honorarium on the basis that it was against the policy of his institution to accept government money. The conversation at lunch, according to the recollections of those of us in attendance, would certainly not have generated any of the allegations in the Review article.
- 10. The Seminar members were not particularly impressed with Barnet's theses or arguments. Some members expressed astonishment over the apparent lack of knowledge on the speaker's part as well as the sources of his information. Most Seminar members did state, however, in their critiques that they found him provocative and stimulating. (See Attachment E, copy of student evaluations of Barnet.)

Chief, Senior Seminar

Atts: A/S

ı O	veen a pleasen	<b>902/01</b>	#93-1cGIA-RI	<b>77880</b>	B04 0	0009002	
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN	TIAL	1	SECRET	
	*		ELLIGENCE AC				
	OFFIC	CIAL	ROUTING	SL	IP		
0					ATE	INITIALS	
1		Executive Director-Comptroller			AN 197		
_	7-E-12 Headquarters				4		
2	Colpy						
3	DD SI						
4	DTR						
	1011	<b>-</b>					
5 ——							
6							
	ACTION	DII	RECT REPLY		PREPARE		
	APPROVAL DISPATCH			RECOMMENDATION			
	AFFROVAL						
	COMMENT	FI			RETURN	DE	
	COMMENT CONCURRENCE marks:		LE Formation		RETURN SIGNATU	RE	
Colliste Control Contr	comment concurrence marks: conel White:     The attack cough lengthy and sponds to your co Senior Seminar, dents to critics of cacept. Although carently endorse I Hugh not to do se esentation or view clated our confide	ched made inviting so not we once ar	nemorandurance ton the Barrandurance the was and prity of this ag Mr. Barrandurance of the Agency	rnet isdo gen s sen the but	d cover ily defe appear m of ex erally ninar v gain I content becaus	r sheet ensive cance at exposing endorse t would intend to t of his e he	
Colliste Control Contr	comment concurrence marks: conel White:     The attack cough lengthy and sponds to your concept. Although carently endorse I Hugh not to do see that our confidence our confidence our confidence our confidence our appearance	ched made inviting the solution of the solutio	emorandurance ton the Barrity of this because of the Agency and the Agency and because of the Agency and attempte	rnet isdo gen s sen net a the but	d cover ily defe appear m of ex erally ninar v gain I content becaus trade	r sheet ensive cance at exposing endorse t would intend to t of his e he on his	
Colliste Control Contr	comment concurrence marks: conel White:     The attack cough lengthy and sponds to your concept. Although carently endorse I Hugh not to do see that our confidence our confidence our confidence our confidence our appearance	ched made inviting the amajor inviting the ama	emorandurans unnecest on the Barn see the was and ority of this because of the Agency and attempte	isdo isdo gen s sen net a the but ed to	d cover ily defe appear m of ex erally ninar v gain I content becaus trade	r sheet ensive cance at exposing endorse t would intend to t of his e he on his	
Columbia de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya d	comment concurrence marks: conel White:     The attack cough lengthy and sponds to your concurrence dents to critics of concept. Although carently endorse I Hugh not to do a cesentation or view clated our confident minar appearance  FOLD  Concept.	ched made inviting the contract of the contrac	emorandurance unnecest on the Barn see the ward or this again of this again of the Agency and attempte of RETURN TO SEE AND PHONE	isdo isdo gen s sen net a the but ed to	d cover ily defe appear m of ex erally ninar v gain I content becaus trade	r sheet ensive cance at exposing endorse t would intend to t of his e he on his	
Columbia de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya d	comment concurrence marks: conel White:     The attack cough lengthy and sponds to your concurrence Senior Seminar, dents to critics of comments are to critics of comments are to critics of comments are to your concurrence dents to critics of comments are to your concurrence and the comments are to your confidence dents to critics of comments are to your confidence and the comments are to your confidence dents to critics of comments are to your confidence and the comments are to your confidence dents to critics of comments are to your confidence and the comments are the your confidence and t	ched made inviting the amajor inviting the ama	emorandurance unnecest on the Barn see the ward or this again of this again of the Agency and attempte of RETURN TO SEE AND PHONE	isdo isdo igen s sen net a the but id to	d cover ily defe appear m of ex erally ninar v gain I content becaus trade	r sheet ensive cance at exposing endorse t would intend to t of his e he	

/25X1/

y at t	[	COUTIN	G AND	ELL CLES	o sheet		
UBJECT: (Optional)	1940 Bill an le by his displayment public de la come mense.		****				
	Director of Training 1026 Chamber of Commerce				NO. DTR 7041		
TO 105 - 1 - 1 - 1	deinetie				28 December 1971		
ovilding)	r designation, room number, and	RECEIVED	DATE  RECEIVED FORWARDED		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.		
1. DD,	/S 26 Hqs			medilet for with uniform metalet from the	The attached Memorandum for the Record sets out the whole story		
2.				· ·	of Richard Barnet's appearance at the Senior Seminar.  Two factors connected with this		
3.					episode cause me to wonder whether we really are "the loser in this		
4,					particular case":  a. Beginning his article with the boast that he had been invited		
5.					to appear in our Senior Seminar seems to me to emphasize the		
6.					point that CIA is not afraid to listen to its critics. The several paragraphs about the Seminar,		
7.	**************************************				however tendentious and mis- leading, do detract a good deal from the points he later goes on		
8.					to make. b. One exchange with the		
9.	<b>k</b>				students during the Seminar suggests that his article would have been even more critical		
0.	,				if he had not been talked down on one of his favorite points. This washs allegation that CIA is		
1.					engaged in training in the use of		
2.					torture. He made a good deal of this point to the students; given his grasping at all other types		
3.					of criticism of CIA he would surely have included this one in		
4.					the article if he had not been persuaded otherwise.		
5.					HUGH T. CUNNINGHAM		